



Kaipara te Oranganui • Two Oceans Two Harbours

Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Kaipara District Council - District Plan Review

Date received: 13/05/2025

Submission Reference Number #:7

This is a submission on the following proposed plan (the **proposal**): Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Submitter:

David Medland-Slater

Contact person and address for service:

David Medland-Slater
128 black swamp road mangawhai 0975
New Zealand

Electronic address for service: david.medlandslater@gmail.com

I wish to be heard: No

I am willing to present a joint case: No

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?

- **No**

If you have answered yes to the above question, are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

- **No**

Submission points

Point 7.1

Section: Subdivision

Sub-section: Policies

Provision:

SUB-P12 Subdivision in the Mangawhai/Hakaru Managed Growth Area

Support / Amend / Oppose: Oppose

Submission:

The almost blanket ban on sub-divisions in this newly propose area seems to be quite unreasonable as the only background given is to state that there needs to sufficient infrastructure available. Planning such needs is part of any KDC remit. There appear to be no other areas that have such restrictions. If the council have concerns about infrastructure availability in any area, including this new one, then those should be spelled out and provisions in the DP put in place to handle them. For example subdivisions in any area might be required to provide their own water and septic processing to reduce loads on KDC provided systems.

It would also help if the specific policy reasoning behind creating this special non-growth area could be given rather than the rather sparse explanation in the current proposed plan.

Relief sought

Remove this growth area designation from the plan or include far more and reasonable detail on what could allowed rather than an almost blanket ban on subdivisions in this new area.